Application No. Applicant(s) 09/838,348 **OJANEN** Interview Summary Examiner **Art Unit** Sunil Singh 3673 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3) Randall W Ojanen. (1) Sunil Singh. (4)_____ (2) Stephen T Belsheim. Date of Interview: 10/20/04. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] If Yes, brief description: <u>Hainer</u> Slewie with Limples protoning 15-30% the thickness of the retainer Claim(s) discussed: 15, 29, 39, 43, 43 Identification of prior art discussed: Dekset al. (3752515); Radd et al. (3801 158) Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See be low</u>. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS

GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Applicant to respond to clarify Images at "no radial flay" on

Applicant to respond to clarify language of "no radial play" on page 11 of specification. Applicant to respond with regards to 15-30% of the protouding dimples thickness in relation to the prior art.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required